arXiv:1702.05789v1 [math.HO] 19 Feb 2017

An alternative quadratic formula

Norbert Hungerbühler

1 The quadratic formula and friends

The commonly used formula to solve a quadratic equation

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}, a \neq 0, \tag{1}$$

is the *quadratic formula*

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}. \tag{2}$$

In Germany this formula is called *Midnight Formula* or *Moonshine Formula* since a student is supposed to know it by heart even when torn from sleep at midnight. From a didactical point of view, this paradigm is certainly disputable since knowing something by heart is the enemy of understanding the subject. This is why Hermann Weyl used to urge his students to "un-learn" this formula.

When startig from the normalized form

$$x^2 - 2px + q = 0, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3}$$

the quadratic formula takes the form

$$x_{1,2} = p \pm \sqrt{p^2 - q}. \tag{4}$$

Lesser-known is the formula

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{-2c}{b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}} \tag{5}$$

for the solutions of (1). This form is obtained by dividing (1) by x^2, solving the resulting equation for $1/x$ by formula (2), and then taking the reciprocal. This form has the advantage of being particularly robust: It gives a correct answer even in the degenerate case $a = 0$ (i.e. a linear equation). For this reason (5) is used in Muller's iterative method [1] for numerically finding roots of a function f by approximating f with parabolas interpolating the graph in three points.

Trigonometric and hyperbolic methods for solving quadratic equations are also circulating: To explain this idea we assume, without loss of generality, that $a > 0$ in (1). Then, we distinguish the cases $c > 0$ and $c < 0$ (the case $c = 0$ is trivial).

1. Case. If $c > 0$ we bring equation (1) by a scaling $x = y\sqrt{\frac{c}{a}}$ to the normalized form

$$y^2 + dy + 1 = 0, \text{ with } d = \frac{b}{\sqrt{ac}}. \tag{6}$$

Then, using the identity

$$\tanh 2\alpha = \frac{2y}{1 + y^2} \quad \text{for } y = \tanh \alpha$$

we see that $y_1 = \tanh \alpha$ is a solution of (6) if α is chosen such that $\tanh 2\alpha = -\frac{2\sqrt{ac}}{b}$. According to Viëta's Theorem, the product of the solutions of (6) is $y_1 y_2 = 1$, i.e. $y_2 = \coth \alpha$. Putting all together, we find as solutions of (1) in the considered case

$$x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{c}{a}} \tanh \alpha, \quad x_2 = \sqrt{\frac{c}{a}} \coth \alpha, \quad \text{with } \tanh 2\alpha = -\frac{2\sqrt{ac}}{b}.$$

2. Case. If $c < 0$ we bring equation (1) by a scaling $x = y\sqrt{-\frac{c}{a}}$ to the normalized form

$$y^2 + dy - 1 = 0, \text{ with } d = \frac{b}{\sqrt{-ac}}. \tag{7}$$

Using this time the identity

$$\tan 2\alpha = \frac{2y}{1-y^2} \quad \text{for } y = \tan\alpha$$

we see that $y_1 = \tan\alpha$ is a solution of (7) if α is chosen such that $\tan 2\alpha = \frac{2\sqrt{-ac}}{b}$. According to Viëta's Theorem, the product of the solutions of (7) is $y_1 y_2 = -1$, i.e. $y_2 = -\cot\alpha$. Putting all together, we find as solutions of (1) in this 2. case

$$x_1 = \sqrt{-\frac{c}{a}}\tan\alpha, \quad x_2 = -\sqrt{-\frac{c}{a}}\cot\alpha, \quad \text{with } \tan 2\alpha = \frac{2\sqrt{-ac}}{b}.$$

2 Meet a new friend

Here, we want to propose an alternative quadratic formula which stands out by its symmetry. It refers to the normal form

$$x^2 - 4ux + 4v^2 = 0, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{C} \tag{8}$$

and reads as follows:

$$x_{1,2} = (\sqrt{u+v} \pm \sqrt{u-v})^2 \tag{9}$$

or, equivalently,

$$x_{1,2} = (\sqrt{u-v} \pm \sqrt{u+v})^2.$$

The correctness of the formula is readily checked by expanding the square and comparing to (4). By looking at (9), we read off that, for real coefficients $u, v^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, (8) has

- a double root (namely $2u$) iff $u = \pm v$,
- two distinct real roots iff $u^2 > v^2$,
- two real roots of opposite sign iff $v^2 < 0$.

The following example taken from a physics textbook [2, Section 14.3, Problem 8] shows how nicely (9) may turn out compared to the classical formulas (2) or (4):

Exercise. A stone is dropped from rest into a well. t seconds later, the sound of the clash is heard. How deep is the well?

Solution. Let x denote the depth of the well, c the velocity of sound, and g the acceleration of fall (we neglect air resistance). The time t is the sum of the time $t_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2x}{g}}$ for the stone to fall and the travel time $t_2 = \frac{x}{c}$ of the sound. Squaring $t_1 = t - t_2$ and rearranging terms leads to the quadratic equation

$$x^2 - 2x\frac{c(c+gt)}{g} + t^2c^2 = 0. \tag{10}$$

The alternative formula (9) gives the particularly compact expression

$$x_1 = \frac{c^2}{2g}\Big(\sqrt{1+\frac{2gt}{c}} - 1\Big)^2. \tag{11}$$

Compare this to the rather messy expression you get from the classical formula (4)! Let us briefly discuss the result: A falling stone reaches after time $\tau = \frac{c}{g}$ the velocity of sound. During that time τ, it travelled the distance $\frac{c^2}{2g}$ which is precisely the first factor in (11). The second factor, the squared bracket, is a dimensionless number. The series expansion in (11) in $t = 0$ is

$$x_1 = \frac{gt^2}{2} - \frac{g^2t^3}{2c} + O(t^4).$$

Here, the first term is the answer to the exercise in the case of infinite propagation of sound, the second term gives the first order correction (in $1/c$) which yields reasonably good results in practice.

Observe, that the second solution x_2 does not solve the given physical problem. However, the reader is invited to figure out what physical interpretation the second solution of (10) has.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Hans Peter Dreyer for pointing out to him the nice exercise of the falling stone.

References

[1] David E. Muller. A method for solving algebraic equations using an automatic computer. *Math. Tables Aids Comput.*, 10:208–215, 1956.

[2] Raymond A. Serway, Chris Vuille, and Jerry S. Faughn. *College Physics.* Brooks/Cole Cengage Learning, 2009.

Norbert Hungerbühler
Department of Mathematics
ETH Zürich
8092 Zürich, Switzerland
norbert.hungerbuehler@math.ethz.ch